arXiv:1702.06758v2 [math-ph] 7 Mar 2017

BOHR-SOMMERFELD QUANTIZATION RULES REVISITED: THE METHOD OF POSITIVE COMMUTATORS

Abdelwaheb IFA [1], Hanen LOUATI [1,2] & Michel ROULEUX [2]

[1] Université de Tunis El-Manar, Département de Mathématiques, 1091 Tunis, Tunisia
e-mail: louatihanen42@yahoo.fr, abdelwaheb.ifa@fsm.rnu.tn
[2] Aix Marseille Univ, Univ Toulon, CNRS, CPT, Marseille, France
e-mail: rouleux@univ-tln.fr

Abstract: We revisit the well known Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule (BS) for a 1-D Pseudo-differential self-adjoint Hamiltonian within the algebraic and microlocal framework of Helffer and Sjöstrand; BS holds precisely when the Gram matrix consisting of scalar products of some WKB solutions with respect to the "flux norm" is not invertible. The interest of this procedure lies in its possible generalization to matrix-valued Hamiltonians, like Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian. It is simplified in the scalar case by using action-angle variables. The main result of this paper was announced in [IfaRo].

0. Introduction.

Let $p(x,\xi;h)$ be a smooth real classical Hamiltonian on $T^*\mathbf{R}$; we will assume that p belongs to the space of symbols $S^0(m)$ for some order function m (for example $m(x,\xi)=(1+|\xi|^2)^M$) with

$$S^N(m)=\{p\in C^\infty(T^*\mathbf{R}) : \forall\alpha\in\mathbf{N}^2, \exists C_\alpha>0, |\partial^\alpha_{(x,\xi)}p(x,\xi;h)|\leq C_\alpha h^N m(x,\xi)\}$$

and has the semi-classical expansion $p(x,\xi;h)\sim p_0(x,\xi)+hp_1(x,\xi)+\cdots, h\to 0$. We call as usual p_0 the principal symbol, and p_1 the sub-principal symbol. We also assume that $p+i$ is elliptic. This allows to take Weyl quantization of p

$$(0.1)\quad P(x,hD_x;h)u(x;h)=p^w(x,hD_x;h)u(x;h)=(2\pi h)^{-1}\int\int e^{i(x-y)\eta/h}p(\frac{x+y}{2},\eta;h)u(y)\,dy\,d\eta$$

so that $P(x,hD_x;h)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$. In case of Schrödinger operator $P(x,hD_x)=(hD_x)^2+V(x)$, $p(x,\xi;h)=p_0(x,\xi)=\xi^2+V(x)$. We make the geometrical hypothesis of [CdV1], namely:

Fix some compact interval $I=[E_-,E_+], E_-<E_+$, and assume that there exists a topological ring $\mathcal{A}\subset p_0^{-1}(I)$ such that $\partial\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_-\cup\mathcal{A}_+$ with $\mathcal{A}_\pm$ a connected component of $p_0^{-1}(E_\pm)$. Assume also that p_0 has no critical point in $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{A}_-$ is included in the disk bounded by $\mathcal{A}_+$ (if it is not the case, we can always change p to $-p$.) That hypothesis will be referred in the sequel as Hypothesis (H).

We define the microlocal well W as the disk bounded by $\mathcal{A}_+$. For $E\in I$, let $\gamma_E\subset W$ be a periodic orbit in the energy surface $\{p_0(x,\xi)=E\}$, so that γ_E is an embedded Lagrangian manifold.

Let $K_h^N(E)$ be the microlocal kernel of $P-E$ of order N, i.e. the space of local solutions of $(P-E)u=\mathcal{O}(h^{N+1})$ in L^2, microlocalized on γ_E. This is a smooth complex vector bundle over

$\pi_x(\gamma_E)$. Here we address the problem of finding the set of $E = E(h)$ such that $K_h^N(E)$ contains a global section, i.e. of constructing a sequence of quasi-modes (QM) $(u_n(h), E_n(h))$ of a given order N. As usual we denote by $K_h(E)$ the microlocal kernel of $P - E$ mod $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$; since the distinction between $K_h^N(E)$ and $K_h(E)$ plays no important rôle here, we shall content to write $K_h(E)$.

Then if $E_+ < E_0 = \liminf_{|x,\xi|\to\infty} p_0(x,\xi)$, all eigenvalues of P in I are indeed given by *Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition* (BS) $\mathcal{S}_h(E_n(h)) = 2\pi nh$, where the *semi-classical action* $\mathcal{S}_h(E)$ has the asymptotics $\mathcal{S}_h(E) \sim S_0(E) + hS_1(E) + h^2S_2(E) + \cdots$. We determine BS at any accuracy by computing quasi-modes. There are a lot of ways to derive BS: the method of matching of WKB solutions [BenOrz], known also as Liouville-Green method [Ol], which has received many improvements [Ya], the method of the monodromy operator [HeRo] and references therein, the method of quantization deformation based on Functional Calculus and Trace Formulas [Li], [CdV1], [CaGra-SazLiReiRios], [Gra-Saz], [Arg]. Note that the latter one already assumes BS, it only gives a very convenient way to derive it. In the real analytic case, BS rule, and also tunneling expansions, can be obtained using the so-called "exact WKB method" see e.g. [FeMa], [DePh], [DeDiPh] when P is Schrödinger operator.

Here we present another way to construct quasi-modes of order 2, based on [Sj2], [HeSj] in case of a separatrix, or mode crossing in Born-Oppenheimer type Hamiltonians (see [B], [Ro]). Surprizingly, this procedure turns out to be harder to set up in case of a regular orbit, due to "translation invariance" of the Hamiltonian flow. In the present scalar case, when carried to second order, our method is also more intricated than [Li], [CdV1] and its refinements [Gra-Saz]; nevertheless it shows most useful for matrix valued operators with double characteristics such as Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian [DuGy] (see [BenIfaRo], [BenMhaRo]). This method also extends to the scalar case in higher dimensions for a periodic ([SjZw], [LoRo]) or homoclinic orbit ([BoFuRaZe]).

The paper is organized as follows: In Sect.1 we recall the definition of the *microlocal wronskian* of [HeSj], [Sj2]. In Sect.2 we compute BS at lowest order in the special case of Schrödinger operator by means of microlocal wronskian and Gram matrix. In Sect.3 we proceed to more general constructions in the case of h-Pseudodifferential operator (0.1) so to recover BS at order 2. In Sect.4 we use a simpler formalism based on action-angle variables, but which would not extend to systems such as Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian. In Sect.5, following [SjZw], we recall briefly the well-posedness of Grushin problem, which shows in particular that there is no other spectrum in I than this given by BS.

1. The microlocal Wronskian.

The best algebraic and microlocal framework for computing 1-D quantization rules in the self-adjoint case, cast in the fundamental works [Sj2], [HeSj], is based on Fredholm theory, and the classical "positive commutator method" using conservation of some quantity called a "quantum flux".

Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rules result in constructing quasi-modes by WKB approximation along a closed Lagrangian manifold $\Lambda_E \subset \{p_0 = E\}$, i.e. a periodic orbit of Hamilton vector field H_p with energy E. This can be done locally according to the rank of the projection $\Lambda_E \to \mathbf{R}_x$.

Thus the set $K_h(E)$ of asymptotic solutions to $(P - E)u = 0$ along Λ_E can be considered as a

bundle over $\mathbf{R}$ with a compact base, corresponding to the "classically allowed region" at energy E. The sequence of eigenvalues $E = E_n(h)$ is determined by the condition that the resulting quasi-mode, gluing together asymptotic solutions from different coordinates patches along Λ_E, be single-valued, i.e. $K_h(E)$ have trivial holonomy.

Assuming Λ_E is smoothly embedded in $T^*\mathbf{R}^2$, it can be always be parametrized by a non degenerate phase function. Of particular interest are the critical points of the phase functions, or *focal points* which are responsible for the change in Maslov index. Recall that $a(E) = (x_E, \xi_E) \in \Lambda_E$ is called a focal point if Λ_E "turns vertical" at $a(E)$, i.e. $T_{a(E)}\Lambda_E$ is no longer transverse to the fibers $x = \text{Const.}$ in $T^*\mathbf{R}$. In any case, however, Λ_E can be parametrized locally either by a phase $S = S(x)$ (spatial representation) or a phase $\widetilde{S} = \widetilde{S}(\xi)$ (Fourier representation). Choose an orientation on Λ_E and for $a \in \Lambda_E$ (not necessarily a focal point), denote by $\rho = \pm 1$ its oriented segments near a. Let $\chi^a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ be a smooth cut-off equal to 1 near a, and ω_ρ^a a small neighborhood of $\text{supp}[P, \chi^a] \cap \Lambda_E$ near ρ. Here the notation χ^a holds for $\chi^a(x, hD_x)$ as in (0.1), and we shall write $P(x, hD_x)$ (spatial representation) as well as $P(-hD_\xi, \xi)$ (Fourier representation).

Definition 1.1: *Let P be self-adjoint, and $u^a, v^a \in K_h(E)$ be supported on Λ_E. We call*

$$\mathcal{W}_\rho^a(u^a, \overline{v^a}) = \big(\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]_\rho u^a | v^a\big) \tag{1.1}$$

the microlocal Wronskian of $(u^a, \overline{v^a})$ in ω_ρ^a. Here $\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]_\rho$ denotes the part of the commutator supported microlocally on ω_ρ^a.

To understand that terminology, let $P = -h^2\Delta + V$, $x_E = 0$ and change χ to Heaviside unit step-function $\chi(x)$, depending on x alone. Then in distributional sense, we have $\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi] = -ih\delta' + 2\delta h D_x$, where δ denotes the Dirac measure at 0, and δ' its derivative, so that $\big(\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi]u | u\big) = -ih\big(u'(0)\overline{u(0)} - u(0)\overline{u'(0)}\big)$ is the usual Wronskian of $(u, \overline{u})$.

Proposition 1.2: *Let $u^a, v^a \in K_h(E)$ as above, and denote by $\widehat{u}$ the h-Fourier (unitary) transform of u. Then*

$$\big(\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]u^a | v^a\big) = \big(\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]\widehat{u}^a | \widehat{v}^a\big) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

$$\big(\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]_+ u^a | v^a\big) = -\big(\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]_- u^a | v^a\big) \tag{1.3}$$

(all equalities being understood mod $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$, (resp. $\mathcal{O}(h^{N+1})$ when considering $u^a, v^a \in K_h^N(E)$ instead). Moreover, $\mathcal{W}_\rho^a(u^a, \overline{v^a})$ doesn't depend mod $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(h^{N+1})$ on the choice of χ^a as above.

Proof: Since $u^a, v^a \in K_h(E)$ are distributions in L^2, the first equality (1.2) follows from Plancherel formula and the regularity of microlocal solutions in L^2, $p + i$ being elliptic. If a is not a focal point, u^a, v^a are smooth WKB solutions near a, so we can expand the commutator in $w = \big(\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]u^a | v^a\big)$ and use that P is self-adjoint to show that $w = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$. If a is a focal point, u^a, v^a are smooth WKB solutions in Fourier representation, so again $w = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$. Then (1.3) follows from Definition 1.1. ♣

We can find a linear combination of $\mathcal{W}_\pm^a$, (depending on a) which defines a sesquilinear form on $K_h(E)$, so that this Hermitean form makes of $K_h(E)$ a metric bundle, endowed with the gauge group

$U(1)$. This linear combinaison is prescribed as the construction of Maslov index : namely we take $\mathcal{W}^a(u^a, \overline{u^a}) = \mathcal{W}^a_+(u^a, \overline{u^a}) - \mathcal{W}^a_-(u^a, \overline{u^a}) > 0$ when the critical point a of π_{Λ_E} is traversed in the $-\xi$ direction to the right of the fiber (or equivalently $\mathcal{W}^a(u^a, \overline{u^a}) = -\mathcal{W}^a_+(u^a, \overline{u^a}) + \mathcal{W}^a_-(u^a, \overline{u^a}) > 0$ when a is traversed in the $+\xi$ direction to the left of the fiber). Otherwise, just exchange the signs. When γ_E is a convex curve, there are only 2 focal points. In general there may be many focal points a, but each jump of Maslov index is compensated at the next focal point which is traversed to the other side of the fiber (Maslov index is computed mod 4).

Our method consists in constructing Gram matrix of a generating system of $K_h(E)$ in a suitable dual basis; its determinant vanishes precisely at the eigenvalues $E = E_n(h)$.

Note that when energy surface $p_0 = E$ is singular, and γ_E is a separatrix ("figure eight", or homoclinic case), the last equality (1.2) doesn't hold near the "branching point".

2. BS in the case of a Schrödinger operator.

As a warm-up, we derive the well known BS quantization rule using microlocal Wronskians in case of a potential well, i.e. γ_E is convex. Consider the spectrum of Schrödinger operator $P(x, hD_x) = (hD_x)^2 + V(x)$ near the energy level $E_0 < \liminf_{|x|\to\infty} V(x)$, when $\{V \le E\} = [x'_E, x_E]$ and x'_E, x_E are simple turning points, $V(x'_E) = V(x_E) = E$, $V'(x'_E) < 0, V'(x_E) > 0$. For a survey of WKB theory, see e.g. [BaWe] or [CdV]. It is convenient to start the construction from the focal points a or a'. We set $a' = x'_E$, $a = x_E$, identifying the focal point $a = a_E = (x_E, 0)$ with its projection x_E. We know that microlocal solutions u of $(P - E)u = 0$ near a are of the form

$$u^a(x,h) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{2}}\big(e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{iS(a,x)/h} + e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{-iS(a,x)/h} + \mathcal{O}(h)\big),\ C \in \mathbf{C} \tag{2.1}$$

where $S(y,x) = \int_y^x \xi_+(t)\,dt$, and $\xi_+(t)$ is the positive root of $\xi^2 + V(t) = E$. In the same way, the microlocal solutions of $(P - E)u = 0$ near a' have the form

$$u^{a'}(x,h) = \frac{C'}{\sqrt{2}}\big(e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{iS(a',x)/h} + e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{-iS(a',x)/h} + \mathcal{O}(h)\big),\ C' \in \mathbf{C} \tag{2.2}$$

These expressions result in computing by the method of stationary phase the oscillatory integral that gives the solution of $(P(-hD_\xi, \xi) - E)\widehat{u} = 0$ in Fourier representation. The change of phase factor $e^{\pm i\pi/4}$ accounts for Maslov index. For later purposes, we recall here from [Hö,Thm 7.7.5] that if $f : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{C}$, with $\operatorname{Im} f \ge 0$ has a non-degenerate critical point at x_0, then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{\frac{i}{h}f(x)}\,u(x)\,dx \sim e^{\frac{i}{h}f(x_0)}\,\big(\det(\frac{f''(x_0)}{2i\pi h})\big)^{-1/2}\,\sum_j h^j\,L_j(u)(x_0) \tag{2.3}$$

where L_j are linear forms, $L_0u(x_0) = u(x_0)$, and

$$L_1u(x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{2} \frac{2^{-(n+1)}}{in!(n+1)!}\langle (f''(x_0))^{-1}D_x, D_x\rangle^{n+1}\big((\Phi_{x_0})^n u\big)(x_0) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\Phi_{x_0}(x) = f(x) - f(x_0) - \frac{1}{2}\langle f''(x_0)(x - x_0), x - x_0\rangle$ vanishes of order 3 at x_0.

For the sake of simplicity, we omit henceforth $\mathcal{O}(h)$ terms, but the computations below extend to all order in h (practically, at least for $N = 2$), thus giving the asymptotics of BS. This will be elaborated in Section 3.

The semi-classical distributions $u^a, u^{a'}$ span the microlocal kernel K_h of $P - E$ in $(x, \xi) \in]a', a[\times\mathbf{R}$; they are normalized using microlocal Wronskians as follows.

Let $\chi^a \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ as in the Introduction be a smooth cut-off equal to 1 near a. Without loss of generality, we can take $\chi^a(x,\xi) = \chi_1^a(x)\chi_2(\xi)$, so that $\chi_2 \equiv 1$ on small neighborhoods $\omega_\pm^a$, of $\mathrm{supp}[P, \chi^a] \cap \{\xi^2 + V = E\}$ in $\pm\xi > 0$. We define $\chi^{a'}$ similarly. Since $\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a] = 2(\chi^a)'(x)hD_x - ih(\chi^a)''$, by (2.1) and (2.2) we have, mod $\mathcal{O}(h)$:

$$\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]u^a(x,h) = \sqrt{2}C(\chi_1^a)'(x)\big(e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{iS(a,x)/h} - e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{-iS(a,x)/h}\big)$$
$$\frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^{a'}]u^{a'}(x,h) = \sqrt{2}C'(\chi_1^{a'})'(x)\big(e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{iS(a',x)/h} - e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{-iS(a',x)/h}\big)$$

Let

$$F_\pm^a(x,h) = \frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^a]_\pm u^a(x,h) = \pm\sqrt{2}C(\chi_1^a)'(x)e^{\pm i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{\pm iS(a,x)/h} \tag{2.5}$$

so that:

$$\begin{aligned}(u^a|F_+^a - F_-^a) &= |C|^2\big(e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{iS(a,x)/h}|(\chi_1^a)'e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{iS(a,x)/h}\big)\\ &+ |C|^2(e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{-iS(a,x)/h}|(\chi_1^a)'e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{-iS(a,x)/h})\big) + \mathcal{O}(h)\\ &= |C|^2(\int(\chi_1^a)'(x)dx + \int(\chi_1^a)'(x)dx) + \mathcal{O}(h) = 2|C|^2 + \mathcal{O}(h)\end{aligned}$$

(the mixed terms such as $\big(e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{iS(a,x)/h}|(\chi_1^a)'e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{-iS(a,x)/h}\big)$ are $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ because the phase is non stationary), thus u^a is normalized mod $\mathcal{O}(h)$ if we choose $C = 2^{-1/2}$. In the same way, with

$$F_\pm^{a'}(x,h) = \frac{i}{h}[P, \chi^{a'}]_\pm u^{a'}(x,h) = \pm\sqrt{2}C'(\chi_1^{a'})'(x)e^{\mp i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{\pm iS(a',x)/h} \tag{2.6}$$

we get

$$(u^{a'}|F_+^{a'} - F_-^{a'}) = |C'|^2(\int(\chi_1^{a'})'(x)dx + \int(\chi_1^{a'})'(x)dx) + \mathcal{O}(h) = -2|C'|^2 + \mathcal{O}(h)$$

and we choose again $C' = C$ which normalizes $u^{a'}$ mod $\mathcal{O}(h)$. Normalization carries to higher order, as is shown in Sect.3 for a more general Hamiltonian.

So there is a natural duality product between $K_h(E)$ and the span of functions $F_+^a - F_-^a$ and $F_+^{a'} - F_-^{a'}$ in L^2. As in [Sj2], [HeSj] we can show that this space is microlocally transverse to $\mathrm{Im}(P-E)$ on $(x,\xi) \in]a', a[\times\mathbf{R}$, and thus identifies with the microlocal co-kernel $K_h^*(E)$ of $P - E$; in general $\dim K_h(E) = \dim K_h^*(E) = 2$, unless E is an eigenvalue, in which case $\dim K_h = \dim K_h^* = 1$ (showing that $P - E$ is of index 0 when Fredholm.)

Microlocal solutions u^a and $u^{a'}$ extend as smooth solutions on the whole interval $]a', a[$; we denote them by u_1 and u_2. Since there are no other focal points between a and a', they are expressed by the same formulae (which makes the analysis particularly simple) and satisfy :

$$(u_1|F_+^a - F_-^a) = 1, \quad (u_2|F_+^{a'} - F_-^{a'}) = -1$$

Next we compute (still modulo $\mathcal{O}(h)$)

$$\begin{aligned}(u_1|F_+^{a'} - F_-^{a'}) &= \frac{1}{2}(e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{iS(a,x)/h}|(\chi_1^{a'})'e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{iS(a',x)/h}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(e^{-i\pi/4}(E-V)^{-1/4}e^{-iS(a,x)/h}|(\chi_1^{a'})'e^{i\pi/4}(E-V)^{1/4}e^{-iS(a',x)/h}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2}e^{-iS(a',a)/h}\int(\chi_1^{a'})'(x)dx - \frac{i}{2}e^{iS(a',a)/h}\int(\chi_1^{a'})'(x)dx = -\sin(S(a',a)/h)\end{aligned}$$

(taking again into account that the mixed terms are $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$). Similarly $(u_2|F_+^a - F_-^a) = \sin(S(a',a)/h)$. Now we define Gram matrix

$$G^{(a,a')}(E) = \begin{pmatrix} (u_1|F_+^a - F_-^a) & (u_2|F_+^a - F_-^a) \\ (u_1|F_+^{a'} - F_-^{a'}) & (u_2|F_+^{a'} - F_-^{a'}) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.8}$$

whose determinant $-1 + \sin^2(S(a',a)/h) = -\cos^2(S(a',a)/h)$ vanishes precisely on eigenvalues of P in I, so we recover the well known BS quantization condition

$$\oint \xi(x)\,dx = 2\int_{a'}^{a}(E-V)^{1/2}\,dx = 2\pi h(k+\frac{1}{2}) + \mathcal{O}(h) \tag{2.9}$$

and $\det G^{(a,a')}(E)$ is nothing but Jost function which is computed e.g. in [DeDi], [DeDiPh] by another method.

3. The general case

By the discussion after Proposition 1.1, it clearly suffices to consider the case when γ_E contains only 2 focal points which contribute to Maslov index. We shall content throughout to BS mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

a) Quasi-modes mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ in Fourier representation.

Let $a = a_E = (x_E, \xi_E)$ be such a focal point. Following a well known procedure we can trace back to [Sj1], we first seek for WKB solutions in Fourier representation near a of the form $\widehat{u}(\xi) = e^{i\psi(\xi)/h}b(\xi;h)$. Here the phase $\psi = \psi_E$ solves Hamilton-Jacobi equation $p_0(-\psi'(\xi),\xi) = E$, and can be normalized by $\psi(\xi_E) = 0$; the amplitude $b(\xi;h) = b_0(\xi) + hb_1(\xi) + \cdots$ has to be found recursively together with $a(x,\xi;h) = a_0(x,\xi) + ha_1(x,\xi) + \cdots$, such that

$$hD_\xi\big(e^{i(x\xi+\psi(\xi))/h}a(x,\xi;h)\big) = P(x,D_x;h)\big(e^{i(x\xi+\psi(\xi))/h}b(\xi;h)\big)$$

Expanding the RHS by stationary phase (2.3), we find

$$hD_\xi\big(e^{i(x\xi+\psi(\xi))/h}a(x,\xi;h)\big) = e^{i(x\xi+\psi(\xi))/h}b(\xi;h)\big(p_0(x,\xi) - E + h\widetilde{p}_1(x,\xi) + h^2\widetilde{p}_2(x,\xi) + \mathcal{O}(h^3)\big)$$

p_0 being the principal symbol of P,

$$\widetilde{p}_1(x,\xi) = p_1(x,\xi) + \frac{1}{2i}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x \partial \xi}(x,\xi),\ \widetilde{p}_2(x,\xi) = p_2(x,\xi) + \frac{1}{2i}\frac{\partial^2 p_1}{\partial x \partial \xi}(x,\xi) - \frac{1}{8}\frac{\partial^4 p_0}{\partial x^2 \partial \xi^2}(x,\xi)$$

Collecting the coefficients of ascending powers of h, we get

$$(3.1)_0 \qquad (p_0 - E)b_0 = (x + \psi'(\xi))a_0$$

$$(3.1)_1 \qquad (p_0 - E)b_1 + \widetilde{p}_1 b_0 = (x + \psi'(\xi))a_1 + \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \xi}$$

$$(3.1)_2 \qquad (p_0 - E)b_2 + \widetilde{p}_1 b_1 + \widetilde{p}_2 b_0 = (x + \psi'(\xi))a_2 + \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \xi}$$

and so on. Define $\lambda(x,\xi)$ by $p_0(x,\xi) - E = \lambda(x,\xi)(x + \psi'(\xi))$, we have

$$\lambda(-\psi'(\xi),\xi) = \partial_x p_0(-\psi'(\xi),\xi) = \alpha(\xi) \tag{3.2}$$

This gives $a_0(x,\xi) = \lambda(x,\xi)b_0(\xi)$ for $(3.1)_0$. We look for b_0 by noticing that $(3.1)_1$ is solvable iff

$$(\widetilde{p}_1 b_0)|_{x=-\psi'(\xi)} = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \xi}|_{x=-\psi'(\xi)}$$

which yields the first order ODE $L(\xi, D_\xi)b_0 = 0$, with $L(\xi, D_\xi) = \alpha(\xi)D_\xi + \frac{1}{2i}\alpha'(\xi) - p_1(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)$. We find

$$b_0(\xi) = C_0|\alpha(\xi)|^{-1/2}e^{i\int \frac{p_1}{\alpha}}$$

with an arbitrary constant C_0. This gives in turn

$$a_1(x,\xi) = \lambda(x,\xi)b_1(\xi) + \lambda_0(x,\xi) \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$\lambda_0(x,\xi) = \frac{b_0(\xi)\widetilde{p}_1 + i\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \xi}}{x + \partial_\xi \psi}$$

which is smooth near a_E. At the next step, we look for b_1 by noticing that $(3.1)_2$ is solvable iff

$$(\widetilde{p}_1 b_1 + \widetilde{p}_2 b_0)|_{x=-\psi'(\xi)} = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial a_1}{\partial \xi}|_{x=-\psi'(\xi)}$$

Differentiating (3.3) gives $L(\xi, D_\xi)b_1 = \widetilde{p}_2 b_0 + i\partial_\xi \lambda_0|_{x=-\psi'(\xi)}$, which we solve for b_1. We eventually get, mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$

$$\widehat{u}^a(\xi;h) = (C_0 + hC_1 + hD_1(\xi))|\alpha(\xi)|^{-1/2}\exp\frac{i}{h}\big[\psi(\xi) + h\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi}\frac{p_1(-\psi'(\zeta),\zeta)}{\alpha(\zeta)}\,d\zeta\big] \tag{3.7}$$

where we have set (for ξ close enough to ξ_E so that $\alpha(\xi) \neq 0$)

$$D_1(\xi) = \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha(\xi_E))\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi}\exp[-i\int_{\xi_E}^{\zeta}\frac{p_1}{\alpha}]\big(i\widetilde{p}_2 b_0 - \partial_\xi \lambda_0|_{x=-\psi'(\zeta)}\big)\,|\alpha(\zeta)|^{-1/2}\,d\zeta \tag{3.8}$$

The integration constants C_0, C_1 will be determined by normalizing the microlocal Wronskians as follows.

Proposition 3.2: *With the hypotheses above, the microlocal Wronskian near a focal point a_E is given by*

$$\mathcal{W}^a(u^a, \overline{u^a}) = \mathcal{W}^a_+(u^a, \overline{u^a}) - \mathcal{W}^a_-(u^a, \overline{u^a}) =$$
$$2\,\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha(\xi_E))\big(|C_0|^2 + h\big(2\,\mathrm{Re}(\overline{C_0}C_1) + |C_0|^2\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)(\xi_E)\big) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)\big)$$

The condition that u^a be normalized mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ (once we have chosen C_0 to be real), is then

$$C_1(E) = -\frac{1}{2}C_0\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)(a_E) \tag{3.14}$$

so that now $\mathcal{W}^a(u^a, \overline{u^a}) = 2\,\mathrm{sgn}(\alpha(\xi_E))C_0^2\big(1+\mathcal{O}(h^2)\big)$. We say that u^a is *well-normalized* mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$. This can be formalized by considering $\{a_E\}$ as a *Poincaré section* (see Sect.4), and Poisson operator the operator that assigns, in a 1-to-1 way, with the initial condition C_0 on $\{a_E\}$ the well-normalized (forward) solution u^a to $(P-E)u^a = 0$; namely, $C_1(E)$ and $D_1(\xi)$, whence $\widehat{u}^a$, depend linearly on C_0. Using the approximation

$$C_0 + hC_1(E) + hD_1(\xi) = \big(C_0 + hC_1(E) + h\,\mathrm{Re}(D_1(\xi))\big)\exp\big[\frac{ih}{C_0}\,\mathrm{Im}(D_1(\xi))\big] + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

the normalized WKB solution near a_E now writes, by (3.7)

$$\widehat{u}^a(\xi;h) = \big(C_0 + hC_1(E) + h\,\mathrm{Re}(D_1(\xi))\big)|\alpha(\xi)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\big[i\widetilde{S}(\xi,\xi_E;h)/h\big](1+\mathcal{O}(h^2)) \tag{3.15}$$

with the h-dependent phase function

$$\widetilde{S}(\xi,\xi_E;h) = \psi(\xi) + h\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi}\frac{p_1(-\psi'(\zeta),\zeta)}{\alpha(\zeta)}\,d\zeta + \frac{h^2}{C_0}\,\mathrm{Im}(D_1(\xi))$$

The modulus of $\widehat{u}^a(\xi;h)$ can further be simplified using (3.14) and formula (3.23) below:

$$C_0+hC_1(E)+h\,\mathrm{Re}(D_1(\xi)) = C_0\big(1-\frac{h}{2}\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)|_{x=-\psi'(\xi)}\big) = C_0\big[\exp h\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)|_{x=-\psi'(\xi)}\big]^{-1/2}+\mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

which altogether, recalling $\alpha(\xi) = \partial_x p_0(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)$ near ξ_E (and assuming $\alpha(\xi_E) > 0$ to fix the ideas), gives

$$\widehat{u}^a(\xi;h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\big((\partial_x p_0)\exp\big[h\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)\big]\big)^{-1/2}\exp\big[i\widetilde{S}(\xi,\xi_E;h)/h\big](1+\mathcal{O}(h^2)) \tag{3.16}$$

Actually we postpone the proof of this Proposition to Sect.3.c, which is quite technical, using the spatial representation of u^a.

b) The homology class of the generalized action: Fourier representation.

Here we identify the various terms in (3.16), which are responsible for the holonomy of u^a. First on γ_E we have $\psi(\xi) = \int -x\,d\xi + \mathrm{Const.}$, and $\varphi(x) = \int \xi\,dx + \mathrm{Const.}$ By Hamilton equations

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = -\partial_x p_0(x(t),\xi(t)), \quad \dot{x}(t) = \partial_\xi p_0(x(t),\xi(t))$$

so $\int \frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\, d\xi = -\int \frac{p_1}{\partial_\xi p_0}\, dx = -\int_{\gamma_E} p_1\, dt$. The form $p_1\, dt$ is called the subprincipal 1-form. Next we consider $D_1(\xi)$ as the integral over γ_E of the 1-form, defined near a in Fourier representation as

$$\Omega_1 = T_1\, d\xi = \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha(\xi))\big(i\widetilde{p}_2 b_0 - \partial_\xi \lambda_0\big)|\alpha|^{-1/2} e^{-i\int \frac{p_1}{\alpha}\, d\xi} \tag{3.22}$$

Since γ_E is Lagrangian, Ω_1 is a closed form that we are going to compute modulo exact forms. Using integration by parts, the integral of $\Omega_1(\xi)$ in Fourier representation simplifies to

$$\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Re}\, D_1(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\big[\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)\big]_{\xi_E}^{\xi} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)(\xi) - \frac{C_1}{C_0} \tag{3.23}$$

$$\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Im} D_1(\zeta) = \int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} T_1(\zeta)\, d\zeta + \big[\frac{\psi''}{6\alpha}\partial_x^3 p_0 + \frac{\alpha'}{4\alpha^2}\partial_x^2 p_0\big]_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \tag{3.24}$$

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}\big(p_2 - \frac{1}{8}\partial_x^2\partial_\xi^2 p_0 + \frac{\psi''}{12}\partial_x^3\partial_\xi p_0 + \frac{(\psi'')^2}{24}\big(\partial_x^4 p_0\big)\big) + \frac{1}{8}\frac{(\alpha')^2}{\alpha^3}\partial_x^2 p_0 + \frac{1}{6}\psi''\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha^2}\partial_x^3 p_0$$
$$- \frac{p_1}{\alpha^2}\big(\partial_x p_1 - \frac{p_1}{2\alpha}\partial_x^2 p_0\big) \tag{3.25}$$

There follows:

Lemma 3.3: *Modulo the integral of an exact form in $\mathcal{A}$, with T_1 as in (3.25) we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Re}\, D_1(\xi) \equiv 0 \\ &\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Im}\, D_1(\xi) \equiv \int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} T_1(\zeta)\, d\zeta \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Passing from Fourier to spatial representation, we can carry the integration in x-variable between the focal points a_E and a'_E, and in ξ-variable again near a'_E. Since γ_E is smoothly embedded, the microlocal solution $\widehat{u}^a$ extends uniquely along γ_E.

If $f(x,\xi), g(x,\xi)$ are any smooth functions on $\mathcal{A}$ we set $\Omega(x,\xi) = f(x,\xi)\, dx + g(x,\xi)\, d\xi$. By Stokes formula

$$\int_{\gamma_E} \Omega(x,\xi) = \int\int_{p_0 \le E} (\partial_x g - \partial_\xi f)\, dx \wedge d\xi$$

where, following [CdV], we have extended p_0 in the disk bounded by $\mathcal{A}_-$ so that it coincides with a harmonic oscillator in a neighborhood of a point inside, say $p_0(0,0) = 0$. Making the symplectic change of coordinates $(x,\xi) \mapsto (t,E)$ in $T^*\mathbf{R}$:

$$\int\int_{p_0 \le E} (\partial_x g - \partial_\xi f)\, dx \wedge d\xi = \int_0^E \int_0^{T(E')} (\partial_x g - \partial_\xi f)\, dt \wedge dE'$$

where $T(E')$ is the period of the flow of Hamilton vector field H_{p_0} at energy E' ($T(E')$ being a constant near (0,0)). Taking derivative with respect to E, we find

$$\frac{d}{dE}\int_{\gamma_E} \Omega(x,\xi) = \int_0^{T(E)} (\partial_x g - \partial_\xi f)\, dt \tag{3.27}$$

We compute $\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} T_1(\zeta)\,d\zeta$ with T_1 as in (3.25), and start to simplify $J_1 = \int \omega_1$, with ω_1 the last term on the RHS of (3.25). Let $g_1(x,\xi) = \frac{p_1^2(x,\xi)}{\partial_x p_0(x,\xi)}$, by (3.27) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\gamma_E} \frac{\partial_x\, g_1(x,\xi)}{\partial_x\, p_0(x,\xi)}\,d\xi = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{T(E)} \partial_x g_1(x(t),\xi(t))\,dt = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dE}\int_{\gamma_E} g_1(x,\xi)\,d\xi \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dE}\int_{\gamma_E} \frac{p_1^2(x,\xi)}{\partial_x p_0(x,\xi)}\,d\xi = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dE}\int_0^{T(E)} p_1^2(x(t),\xi(t))\,dt
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.28}
$$

which is the contribution of p_1 to the second term S_2 of generalized action in [CdV,Thm2]. Here $T(E)$ is the period on γ_E. We also have

$$
\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{1}{\alpha(\xi)} p_2(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)\,d\xi = \int_{\gamma_E} \frac{p_2(x,\xi)}{\partial_x p_0(x,\xi)}\,d\xi = -\int_0^{T(E)} p_2(x(t),\xi(t))\,dt \tag{3.29}
$$

To compute T_1 modulo exact forms we are left to simplify in (3.25) the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_2 = &\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{1}{\alpha}\big(-\frac{1}{8}\frac{\partial^4 p_0}{\partial x^2\,\partial\xi^2} + \frac{\psi''}{12}\frac{\partial^4 p_0}{\partial x^3\partial\xi} + \frac{(\psi'')^2}{24}\frac{\partial^4 p_0}{\partial x^4}\big)\,d\zeta + \frac{1}{8}\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{(\alpha')^2}{\alpha^3}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x^2}\,d\zeta \\
&+ \frac{1}{6}\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \psi''\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^3 p_0}{\partial x^3}\,d\zeta
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g_0(x,\xi) = \frac{\Delta(x,\xi)}{\partial_x p_0(x,\xi)}$, where we have set according to [CdV]

$$
\Delta(x,\xi) = \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial\xi^2} - \big(\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x\,\partial\xi}\big)^2
$$

Taking second derivative of eikonal equation $p_0(-\psi'(\xi),\xi) = E$, we get

$$
\frac{(\partial_x g_0)(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)}{\alpha(\xi)} = \frac{\psi'''}{\alpha}\frac{\partial^3 p_0}{\partial x^3} + 2\psi''\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^3 p_0}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x^2} - 2\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^3 p_0}{\partial x^2\partial\xi} + \frac{(\alpha')^2}{\alpha^3}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x^2}
$$

Integration by parts of the first and third term on the RHS gives altogether

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{(\partial_x g_0)(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)}{\alpha(\xi)}\,d\xi = &-3\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\partial^4 p_0}{\partial x^2\,\partial\xi^2}\,d\zeta + 2\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{\psi''}{\alpha}\frac{\partial^4 p_0}{\partial x^3\,\partial\xi}\,d\zeta + \int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{(\psi'')^2}{\alpha}\frac{\partial^4 p_0}{\partial x^4}\,d\zeta \\
&+ 3\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{(\alpha')^2}{\alpha^3}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x^2}\,d\zeta + 4\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \psi''\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^3 p_0}{\partial x^3}\,d\zeta \\
&+ \big[\frac{\psi''}{\alpha}\frac{\partial^3 p_0}{\partial x^3}\big]_{\xi(E)}^{\xi} + \big[\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha^2}\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x^2}\big]_{\xi_E}^{\xi} + 3\big[\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\partial^3 p_0}{\partial x^2\partial\xi}\big]_{\xi_E}^{\xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

and modulo the integral of an exact form in $\mathcal{A}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\equiv \frac{1}{24}\int_{\xi_E}^{\xi} \frac{(\partial_x g_0)(-\psi'(\zeta),\zeta)}{\alpha(\zeta)}\,d\zeta = -\frac{1}{24}\int_0^{T(E)} \partial_x g_0(x(t),\xi(t))\,dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{24}\frac{d}{dE}\int_{\gamma_E} g_0(x,\xi)\,d\xi \\
&= -\frac{1}{24}\frac{d}{dE}\int_{\gamma_E} \frac{\Delta(x,\xi)}{\partial_x p_0(x,\xi)}\,d\xi = \frac{1}{24}\frac{d}{dE}\int_0^{T(E)} \Delta(x(t),\xi(t))\,dt
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these expressions, we recover the well known action integrals (see e.g. [CdV]):

Proposition 3.4: *Let $\Gamma\, dt$ be the restriction to γ_E of the 1-form*

$$\omega_0(x,\xi) = \big((\partial_x^2 p_0)(\partial_\xi p_0) - (\partial_x\partial_\xi p_0)(\partial_x p_0)\big)\, dx + \big((\partial_\xi p_0)(\partial_\xi\partial_x p_0) - (\partial_\xi^2 p_0)(\partial_x p_0)\big)\, d\xi$$

We have $\mathrm{Re}\oint_{\gamma_E}\Omega_1 = 0$, *whereas*

$$\mathrm{Im}\oint_{\gamma_E}\Omega_1 = \frac{1}{48}\big(\frac{d}{dE}\big)^2\oint_{\gamma_E}\Gamma\, dt - \oint_{\gamma_E} p_2\, dt - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dE}\oint_{\gamma_E} p_1^2\, dt$$

c) Well normalized QM mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ in the spatial representation.

The next task consists in extending the solutions away from a_E in the spatial representation. First we expand $u^a(x) = (2\pi h)^{-1/2}\int e^{ix\xi/h}\widehat{u}^a(\xi;h)\, d\xi = (2\pi h)^{-1/2}\int e^{i(x\xi+\psi(\xi))/h} b(\xi;h)\, d\xi$ near x_E by stationary phase (2.4) mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$, selecting the 2 critical points $\xi_\pm(x)$ near x_E. The phase functions take the form $\varphi_\pm(x) = x\xi_\pm(x) + \psi(\xi_\pm(x))$.

Lemma 3.5: In a neighborhood of the focal point a_E and for $x < x_E$, the microlocal solution of $(P(x,hD_x;h) - E)u(x;h) = 0$ is given by (with $\pm\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x)) > 0$)

(3.30)

$$u^a(x;h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_\pm e^{\pm i\pi/4}\big(\pm\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))\big)^{-1/2}$$
$$\exp\big[\frac{i}{h}\big(\varphi_\pm(x) - h\int_{x_E}^x \frac{p_1(y,\xi_\pm(y))}{\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_\pm(y)}\, dy\big)\big]\, \big(1 + h\sqrt{2}\big(C_1 + D_1(\xi_\pm(x)) + hD_2(\xi_\pm(x)) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)\big)$$

with

(3.31)

$$D_2(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2i}\,(\psi''(\xi))^{-1}\frac{b_0''(\xi)}{b_0(\xi)} + \frac{1}{8i}(\psi''(\xi))^{-2}\big(\psi^{(4)}(\xi) + 4\psi^{(3)}(\xi)\frac{b_0'(\xi)}{b_0(\xi)}\big) - \frac{5}{24i}\,(\psi''(\xi))^{-3}\,(\psi^{(3)}(\xi))^2$$

The quantity $\sqrt{2}(C_1 + D_1(\xi)))$ has been computed before; with the particular choice of $C_1 = C_1(E)$ in (3.14) we have:

$$\sqrt{2}(C_1 + D_1(\xi))) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)(-\psi'(\xi),\xi) + i\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Im}\, D_1(\xi)$$

Moreover

$$\frac{b_0'(\xi)}{b_0(\xi)} = -\frac{\alpha'(\xi)}{2\,\alpha(\xi)} + \frac{ip_1(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)}{\alpha(\xi)}$$
$$\frac{b_0''(\xi)}{b_0(\xi)} = \big(-\frac{\alpha'(\xi)}{2\,\alpha(\xi)} + \frac{i\,p_1(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)}{\alpha(\xi)}\big)^2 + \frac{d}{d\xi}\big(-\frac{\alpha'(\xi)}{2\,\alpha(\xi)} + \frac{ip_1(-\psi'(\xi),\xi)}{\alpha(\xi)}\big)$$

First, we observe that $D_2(\xi_\pm(x))$ does not contribute to the homology class of the semi-classical forms defining the action, since it contains no integral. Thus the phase in (3.30) can be replaced, mod $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ by

$$S_\pm(x_E,x;h) = x_E\xi_E + \int_{x_E}^x \xi_\pm(y)\, dy - h\int_{x_E}^x \frac{p_1(y,\xi_\rho(y))}{\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_\rho(y)}\, dy + \sqrt{2}h^2\,\mathrm{Im}\big(D_1(\xi_\pm(x))\big) \qquad (3.33)$$

with the residue of $\sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Im}\big(D_1(\xi_\pm(x))\big)$, mod the integral of an exact form, computed as in Lemma 3.3.

Now we give a proof of Proposition 3.2 by using Proposition 1.2, and checking directly from (3.30) that normalization relations $(u^a|F^a_+) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $(u^a|F^a_-) = -\frac{1}{2}$ hold mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ in the spatial representation, provided $C_1(E)$ takes the value (3.14). So let us compute $F^a_\pm(x)$ by stationary phase as in (3.30). Recall $c(x,\xi;h)$ from (3.9), and

$$u^\pm_x(y,\eta;h) = c(\frac{x+y}{2},\eta;h)\,\big(\pm\,\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_\pm(y))\big)^{-1/2}\,\exp\big[-i\int_{x_E}^y \frac{p_1(z,\xi_\pm(z))}{\partial_\xi p_0(z,\xi_\pm(z))}\,dz\big]\times$$
$$\big(1+h\sqrt{2}\big(C_1+D_1(\xi_\pm(x)\big)+hD_2(\xi_\pm(x))+\mathcal{O}(h^2)\big)$$

with leading order term $u^{(0,\pm)}_x(y,\eta)$. Applying stationary phase (2.3) gives

$$F^a_\pm(x;h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,e^{\pm i\pi/4}\,e^{\frac{i}{h}\,\varphi_\pm(x)}\,\big(u^\pm_x\big(x,\xi_\pm(x);h\big)+h\,L_1u^{(0,\pm)}_x(x,\xi_\pm(x))+\mathcal{O}(h^2)\big)$$

which simplifies as

$$F^a_\pm(x;h) = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\pm i\pi/4}\exp\big[\frac{i}{h}\,\big(\varphi_\pm(x)-h\int_{x_E}^x\frac{p_1\big(y,\xi_\pm(y)\big)}{\partial_\xi p_0\big(y,\xi_\pm(y)\big)}\,dy\big)\big]\,\big(\pm\,\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))\big)^{1/2}$$
$$\big(1+hZ(\xi_\pm(x))+h\frac{c_1(x,\xi_\pm(x))}{c_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))}+h\frac{2s_\pm(x)\,\theta_\pm(x)+s'_\pm(x)}{2ic_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))}\big)\chi'_1(x)$$

mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$, where we recall c_0, c_1 from (3.9). Here we have set

$$Z(\xi_\pm(x)) = \sqrt{2}\big(C_1(E)+D_1(\xi_\pm(x))\big)+D_2(\xi_\pm(x)$$
$$s_\pm(x) = (\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial\xi^2})(x,\xi_\pm(x))\,\chi'_1(x) = \omega_\pm(x)\,\chi'_1(x)$$
$$\theta_\pm(x) = -\frac{1}{\psi''(\xi_\pm(x))\,\alpha(\xi_\pm(x))}\,\big(i\,p_1\big(x,\xi_\pm(x)\big)-\frac{\psi'''(\xi_\pm(x))\,\alpha(\xi_\pm(x))+\psi''(\xi_\pm(x))\,\alpha'(\xi_\pm(x))}{2\,\psi''(\xi_\pm(x))}\big)$$

and used the fact that

$$c_0\big(x,\xi_\pm(x)\big)\,\big(\pm\,\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))\big)^{-1/2} = \pm\,\big(\pm\,\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))\big)^{1/2}\,\chi'_1(x)$$

Since $\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x)) = \psi''(\xi_\pm(x))\,\alpha(\xi_\pm(x))$ we obtain

(3.34)

$$F^a_\pm(x;h) = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,e^{\pm i\pi/4}\,\exp\big[\frac{i}{h}\big(\varphi_\pm(x)-h\int_{x_E}^x\frac{p_1(y,\xi_\pm(y))}{\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_\pm(y))}\,dy\big)\big]\,\big(\pm\,\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))\big)^{1/2}\chi'_1(x)$$
$$\big(1+h\,\mathrm{Re}\,Z(\xi_\pm(x))+h\frac{\partial_\xi p_1(x,\xi_\pm(x))}{\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))}-ih\frac{\omega_\pm(x)\,\theta_\pm(x)}{\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))}-\frac{ih}{2}\frac{\frac{d}{dx}\big(\omega_\pm(x)\,\chi'_1(x)\big)}{\partial_\xi p_0(x,\xi_\pm(x))\,\chi'_1(x)}+\mathcal{O}(h^2)\big)$$

Taking the scalar product with $u^a_\pm$ gives in particular

(3.35)

$$(u^a_+|F^a_+) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{x_E}^{+\infty}\chi'_1(x)\,dx+$$
$$\frac{h}{2}\int_{x_E}^{+\infty}\big(2\,\mathrm{Re}\,Z(\xi_\pm(x))+\frac{\partial_\xi p_1(x,\xi_+(x))}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))}+i\omega_+(x)\overline{\theta_+(x)\overline{\psi}''}(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))\big)\,\chi'_1(x)\,dx$$
$$+\frac{ih}{4}\int_{x_E}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))}\frac{d}{dx}\big(\omega_+(x)\,\chi'_1(x)\big)\,dx+\mathcal{O}(h^2)$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{h}{2}\,K_1+\frac{ih}{4}\,K_2+\mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

There remains to relate K_1 with K_2. We have

$$2\,\mathrm{Re}\,Z(\xi_\pm(x)) + \frac{\partial_\xi p_1(x,\xi_+(x))}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))} + \frac{i\,\omega_+(x)\,\overline{\theta_+(x)}}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))} =$$
$$\frac{\omega_+(x)}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\alpha(\xi_+(x))}\big(i\,\overline{\theta_+(x)} + \frac{p_1(x,\xi_+(x))}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))}\big) =$$
$$\frac{i\,\omega_+(x)}{2\,\big(\psi''(\xi_+(x))\big)^3\,\big(\alpha(\xi_+(x))\big)^2}\,\big(\psi'''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x)) + \psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha'(\xi_+(x))\big)$$

whence

$$K_1 = \frac{i}{2}\int_{x_E}^{+\infty} \frac{\omega_+(x)}{\big(\psi''(\xi_+(x))\big)^3\,\big(\alpha(\xi_+(x))\big)^2}\,\big(\psi'''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x)) + \psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha'(\xi_+(x))\big)\,\chi_1'(x)\,dx$$

Here we have used that

$$2\,\mathrm{Re}\,Z(\xi_+(x)) = -\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_x p_0}\big)(-\psi'(\xi),\xi) + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\,D_2(\xi_+(x))$$
$$\omega_+(x) = \psi'''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x)) + 2\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha'(\xi_+(x)) + \big(\psi''(\xi_+(x))\big)^2\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial x^2})(x,\xi_+(x))$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \big[\frac{\omega_+(x)\,\chi_1'(x)}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))}\big]_{x_E}^{+\infty} - \int_{x_E}^{+\infty}\frac{d}{dx}\big(\frac{1}{\psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x))}\big)\,\omega_+(x)\,\chi_1'(x)\,dx \\ &= -\int_{x_E}^{+\infty}\frac{\omega_+(x)}{\big(\psi''(\xi_+(x))\big)^3\,\big(\alpha(\xi_+(x))\big)^2}\,\big(\psi'''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha(\xi_+(x)) + \psi''(\xi_+(x))\,\alpha'(\xi_+(x))\big)\,\chi_1'(x)\,dx \\ &= 2iK_1 \end{aligned}$$

This shows $(u_+^a|F_+^a) = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$, and we argue similarly for $(u_-^a|F_-^a)$. So we recover the normalization property $(u^a|F_+^a - F_-^a) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

Away from x_E, we use standard WKB theory extending (3.30), with Ansatz (see e.g. [BaWe], [CdV2])

$$u_\pm^a(x) = a_\pm(x;h)e^{i\varphi_\pm(x)/h} \tag{3.36}$$

Omitting indices $\pm$ and a, we find $a(x;h) = a_0(x) + ha_1(x) + \cdots$; the usual half-density is

$$a_0(x) = \frac{\widetilde{C}_0}{C_0}|\psi''(\xi(x))|^{-1/2}b_0(\xi(x))$$

with a new constant $\widetilde{C}_0 \in \mathbf{R}$; the next term is

$$a_1(x) = (\widetilde{C}_1 + \widetilde{D}_1(x))|\beta_0(x)|^{-1/2}\exp\big(-i\int\frac{p_1(x,\varphi'(x))}{\beta_0(x)}\,dx\big)$$

and $\widetilde{D}_1(x)$ a complex function with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}\widetilde{D}_1(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{C}_0\frac{\beta_1(x)}{\beta_0(x)} + \text{Const.}\\
&\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{D}_1(x) = \widetilde{C}_0\big(\int \frac{\beta_1(x)}{\beta_0^2(x)}p_1(x,\varphi'(x))\,dx - \int \frac{p_2(x,\varphi'(x))}{\beta_0(x)}\,dx\big)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.37}
$$

and $\beta_0(x) = \partial_\xi p_0(x,\varphi'(x)) = -\frac{\alpha(\xi(x))}{\xi'(x)}$, $\beta_1(x) = \partial_\xi p_1(x,\varphi'(x))$. The homology class of the 1-form defining $\widetilde{D}_1(x)$ can be determined as in Lemma 3.3 and coincides of course with this of $T_1\,d\xi$ (see (3.22)) on their common chart. In particular, $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{D}_1(x) = \operatorname{Im} D_1(\xi(x))$ (where $\xi(x)$ stands for $\xi_\pm(x)$, see (3.32)). We stress that (3.30) and (3.36) are equal mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$, though they involve different expressions.

Normalization with respect to the "flux norm" as above yields $\widetilde{C}_0 = C_0 = 1/\sqrt{2}$, and $\widetilde{C}_1$ is determined as in Proposition 3.2. As a result

$$
u(x;h) = \big(2\partial_\xi p_0 \exp\big[h\partial_x\big(\frac{p_1}{\partial_\xi p_0}\big)\big]\big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\big[iS(x_E,x;h)/h\big](1+\mathcal{O}(h^2))
\tag{3.38}
$$

This, together with (3.16), provides a covariant representation of microlocal solutions relative to the choice of coordinate charts, x and ξ being related on their intersection by $-x = \psi'(\xi) \Longleftrightarrow \xi = \varphi'(x)$.

d) BS quantization rule.

Recall from (3.33) that the modified phase function of the microlocal solutions $u^a_\pm$ mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ from the focal point a_E; similarly this of the other asymptotic solution from the other focal point a'_E takes the form

$$
S_\pm(x'_E,x;h) = x'_E\xi'_E + \int_{x'_E}^{x} \xi_\pm(y)\; - h\int_{x'_E}^{x} \frac{p_1(y,\xi_\pm(y))}{\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_\pm(y)}\,dy + h^2\int_{x'_E}^{x} T_1(\xi_\pm(y))\xi'_\pm(y)\,dy
\tag{3.40}
$$

Consider now $F^a_\pm(x,h)$ with asymptotics (3.34), and similarly $F^{a'}_\pm(x,h)$. The normalized microlocal solutions u^a and $u^{a'}$, uniquely extended along γ_E, are now called u_1 and u_2. Arguing as for (3.35), but taking now into account the variation of the semi-classical action between a_E and a'_E we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(u_1|F^{a'}_+ - F^{a'}_+) \equiv \frac{i}{2}\big(e^{iA_-(x_E,x'_E;h)/h} - e^{iA_+(x_E,x'_E;h)/h}\big)\\
&(u_2|F^{a}_+ - F^{a}_+) \equiv \frac{i}{2}\big(e^{-iA_-(x_E,x'_E;h)/h} - e^{-iA_+(x_E,x'_E;h)/h}\big)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.41}
$$

mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$, where the generalized actions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A_\rho(x_E,x'_E;h) = S_\rho(x_E,x;h) - S_\rho(x'_E,x;h) =\\
&\quad x_E\xi_E - x'_E\xi'_E + \int_{x_E}^{x'_E} \xi_\rho(y)\,dy - h\int_{x_E}^{x'_E} \frac{p_1(y,\xi_\rho(y))}{\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_\rho(y)}\,dy + h^2\int_{x_E}^{x'_E} T_1(\xi_\rho(y))\xi'_\rho(y)\,dy
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.42}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{x'_E}^{x_E} \big(\xi_+(y) - \xi_-(y)\big)\,dy = \oint_{\gamma_E} \xi(y)\,dy\\
&\int_{x'_E}^{x_E} \big(\frac{p_1(y,\xi_+(y))}{\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_+(y))} - \frac{p_1(y,\xi_-(y))}{\partial_\xi p_0(y,\xi_-(y))}\big)\,dy = \int_{\gamma_E} p_1\,dt\\
&\int_{x'_E}^{x_E} \big(T_1(\xi_+(y))\xi'_+(y) - T_1(\xi_-(y))\xi'_-(y)\big)\,dy = \operatorname{Im}\oint_{\gamma_E} \Omega_1(\xi(y))\,dy
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, Gram matrix as in (2.8) has determinant

$$-\cos^2\big((A_-(x_E,x'_E;h)-A_+(x_E,x'_E;h))/2h\big)$$

which vanishes precisely when BS holds. Summing up, we eventually recover the well-known result :

Theorem 3.6: *With the notations and hypotheses stated in the Introduction, BS is given in the interval I by $\mathcal{S}_h(E)=2\pi nh$, $n\in\mathbf{Z}$, where the semi-classical action $\mathcal{S}_h(E)\sim S_0(E)+hS_1(E)+h^2S_2(E)+\cdots$ consists of :*
(i) the classical action along γ_E

$$S_0(E)=\oint_{\gamma_E}\xi(x)\,dx=\int\int_{\{p_0\le E\}\cap W}d\xi\wedge dx$$

(ii) Maslov correction and the integral of the sub-principal 1-form $p_1\,dt$

$$S_1(E)=-\pi-\int p_1(x(t),\xi(t))|_{\gamma_E}\,dt$$

(iii) the second order term

$$S_2(E)=\frac{1}{24}\frac{d}{dE}\int_{\gamma_E}\Delta\,dt-\int_{\gamma_E}p_2\,dt-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dE}\int_{\gamma_E}p_1^2\,dt$$

where

$$\Delta(x,\xi)=\frac{\partial^2p_0}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2p_0}{\partial\xi^2}-\big(\frac{\partial^2p_0}{\partial x\,\partial\xi}\big)^2$$

We recall that $S_3(E)=0$. Note that the signs in front of the first and third term of our formula for $S_2(E)$ differ from those in [CdV].

4. BS in action-angle variables.

We present here a simpler approach based on Birkhoff normal form and the monodromy operator [LoRo], which reminds of [HeRo]. Let P be self-adjoint as in (0.1) with Weyl symbol $p\in S^0(m)$, and such that there exists a topological ring $\mathcal{A}$ where p_0 verifies the hypothesis (H) in the Introduction. Without loss of generality, we can assume that p_0 has a periodic orbit $\gamma_0\subset\mathcal{A}$ with period 2π and energy $E=E_0$. Recall from Hamilton-Jacobi theory that there exists a smooth canonical transformation $(t,\tau)\mapsto\kappa(t,\tau)=(x,\xi)$, $t\in[0,2\pi]$, defined in a neighborhood of γ_0 and a smooth function $\tau\mapsto f_0(\tau)$, $f_0(0)=0$, $f_0'(0)=1$ such that

$$p_0\circ\kappa(t,\tau)=f_0(\tau) \tag{4.1}$$

It is given by its generating function $S(\tau,x)=\int_{x_0}^x\xi\,dx$, $\xi=\partial_xS$, $\varphi=\partial_\tau S$, and

$$p_0(x,\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}(\tau,x))=f_0(\tau) \tag{4.2}$$

Energy E and momentum τ are related by the 1-to-1 transformation $E=f_0(\tau)$, and $f_0'(E_0)=1$.

This map can be quantized semi-classically, which is known as the semi-classical Birkhoff normal form (BNF), see e.g. [GuPa] and its proof. Here we take advantage of the fact (see [CdV], Prop.2) that we can deform smoothly p in the interior of annulus $\mathcal{A}$, without changing its semi-classical spectrum in I, in such a way that the "new" p_0 has a non-degenerate minimum, say at $(x_0, \xi_0) = 0$, with $p_0(0,0) = 0$, while all energies $E \in]0, E_+]$ are regular. Then BNF can be achieved by introducing the so-called "harmonic oscillator" coordinates (y, η) so that (4.1) takes the form

$$p_0 \circ \kappa(y,\eta) = f_0(\frac{1}{2}(\eta^2 + y^2)) \tag{4.3}$$

and $U^*PU = f(\frac{1}{2}\big(hD_y^2 + y^2\big); h)$, has full Weyl symbol $f(\tau; h) = f_0(\tau) + hf_1(\tau) + \cdots$. Here f_1 includes Maslov correction $1/2$, and U is a microlocally unitary h-FIO operator associated with κ ([CdVV], [HeSj2,3]). In $\mathcal{A}$, $\tau \neq 0$, so we can make the smooth symplectic change of coordinates $y = \sqrt{2\tau}\cos t$, $\eta = \sqrt{2\tau}\sin t$, and take $\frac{1}{2}\big(hD_y^2 + y^2\big)$ back to hD_t.

We do not intend to provide an explicit expression for $f_j(\tau)$, $j \geq 1$ in term of the p_j, but only point out that f_j depends linearly on $p_0, p_1, \cdots p_j$ and their derivatives. Of course, BNF allows to get rid of focal points. The section $t = 0$ in $f_0^{-1}(E)$ (Poincaré section) reduces to a point, say $\Sigma = \{a(E)\}$.

Recall from [LoRo] that Poisson operator $\mathcal{K}(t, E)$ here solves (globally near γ_0)

$$(f(hD_t; h) - E)\mathcal{K}(t, E) = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

and is given in the special 1-D case by the multiplication operator on $L^2(\Sigma) \approx \mathbf{C}$

$$\mathcal{K}(t, E) = e^{iS(t;E)/h} a(t; E, h)$$

where $S(t, E)$ verifies the eikonal equation $f_0(\partial_t S) = E$, $S(0, E) = 0$, i.e. $S(t, E) = f_0^{-1}(E)t$, and $a(t, E; h) = a_0(t, E) + ha_1(t, E) + \cdots$ satisfies transport equations to any order in h.

Applying (3.36) in the special case where P has constant coefficients, one has

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(t,E) &= C_0\big((f_0^{-1})'(E)\big)^{1/2} e^{-it\alpha(E)} \\ a_1(t,E) &= \big(C_1(E) + C_0(\beta(E) + itS_1(E))\big)\big((f_0^{-1})'(E)\big)^{1/2} e^{-it\alpha(E)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

with $C_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ a normalization constant as above to be determined as above

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(E) &= f_1(\tau)(f_0^{-1})'(E) \\ \beta(E) &= -\frac{1}{2}(f_0^{-1})'(E) f_1'(\tau) \\ S_1(E) &= (f_0^{-1})'(E)\big(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{df_1^2}{dE} - f_2(\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where we recall $\tau = f_0^{-1}(E)$, so that

$$\mathcal{K}(t,E) = e^{iS(t;E)/h}\big((f_0^{-1})'(E)\big)^{1/2} e^{-it\alpha(E)}\big(C_0 + hC_1(E) + hC_0\beta(E) + ithC_0 S_1(E) \tag{4.8}$$

Together with $\mathcal{K}(t, E)$ we define $\mathcal{K}^*(t, E) = e^{-iS(t,E)/h}\overline{a(t, E; h)}$, and

$$\mathcal{K}^*(E) = \int \mathcal{K}^*(t, E)\, dt$$

The "flux norm" on $\mathbf{C}^2$ is defined by

$$(u|v)_\chi = \big(\frac{i}{h}[f(hD_t;h),\chi(t)]\mathcal{K}(t;h)u|\mathcal{K}(t,h)v\big) \tag{4.9}$$

with the scalar product of $L^2(\mathbf{R}_t)$ on the RHS, and $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ is a smooth step-function, equal to 0 for $t \leq 0$ and to 1 for $t \geq 2\pi$. To normalize $\mathcal{K}(t,E)$ we start from

$$\mathcal{K}^*(E)\frac{i}{h}[f(hD_t;h),\chi(t)]\mathcal{K}(t,E) = \mathrm{Id}_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}$$

Since $\frac{i}{h}[f(hD_t;h),\chi(t)]$ has Weyl symbol $(f_0'(\tau))+hf_1'(\tau))\chi'(t)+\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ we are led to compute $I(t,E) = \frac{i}{h}[f(hD_t;h),\chi(t)]\mathcal{K}(t,E)$ where we have set $Q(\tau;h) = f_0'(\tau)+hf_1'(\tau)$. Again by stationary phase (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} I(t,E) = e^{iS(t,E)/h}\big[&(Q(\tau;h))\chi'(t)a(t,E;h) - \frac{ih}{2}\chi''(t)a(t,E;h))\partial_\tau Q(\tau;h) \\ &- ih\chi'(t)\partial_t a(t,E;h)\partial_\tau Q(\tau;h)\big] + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating $I(t,E)$ against $e^{-iS(t,E)/h}\overline{a(t,E;h)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (u|v)_\chi = u\overline{v}\big[&(Q(\tau;h)\int \chi'(t)|a(t,E;h)|^2 - \frac{ih}{2}\partial_\tau Q(\tau;h)\int \chi''(t)|a(t,E;h)|^2\,dt \\ &- ih\partial_\tau Q(\tau;h)\int \partial_t a(t,E;h)\overline{a(t,E;h)}\chi'(t)\,dt + \mathcal{O}(h^2)\big] \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Now $|a(t,E;h)|^2 = (f_0^{-1})'(E)\big(C_0^2 + 2hC_0C_1(E) + 2hC_0^2\beta(E)\big) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$ is independent of t mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$, and

$$(u|v)_\chi = u\overline{v}\big(C_0^2 + 2C_0C_1(E)h - C_0^2\alpha(E)(f_0^{-1})'(E)f_0''(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

so that, choosing $C_0 = 1$ and

$$C_1(E) = \frac{1}{2}\big((f_0^{-1})'(E)\big)^2 f_1(\tau)f_0''(\tau)$$

we end up with $(u|v)_\chi = u\overline{v}(1+\mathcal{O}(h^2)$, which normalizes $\mathcal{K}(t,E)$ to order 2.

We define $\mathcal{K}_0(t,E) = \mathcal{K}(t,E)$ (Poisson operator with data at $t=0$), $\mathcal{K}_{2\pi}(t,E) = \mathcal{K}(t-2\pi,E)$ (Poisson operator with data at $t=2\pi$). and recall from [LoRo] that E is an eigenvalue of $f(hD_t;h)$ iff 1 is an eigenvalue of the monodromy operator $M(E) = K_{2\pi}^*(E)\frac{i}{h}[H,\chi]K_0(\cdot,E)$, which in the 1-D case reduces again to a multiplication operator. A short computation shows that

$$M(E) = \exp[2i\pi\tau/h]\exp[-2i\pi\alpha(E)]\big(1 + 2i\pi hS_1(E) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)\big)$$

so again BS quantization rule writes with an h^2 accuracy as

$$f_0^{-1}(E) - \alpha(E)h + S_1(E)h^2 = nh,\ n \in \mathbf{Z}$$

The proof above readily extends to the periodic case, where there is no Maslov correction in f_1.

5. The discrete spectrum of P in I.

Here we recover the fact that BS determines asymptotically all eigenvalues of P in I. We adapt the argument of [SjZw]. We content in the computations below with an accuracy mod $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$. It is convenient to think of $\{a_E\}$ and $\{a'_E\}$ as zero-dimensional "Poincaré sections" of γ_E. Let $\mathcal{K}^a(E)$ be the operator (Poisson operator) that assigns to its "initial value" $C_0 \in L^2(\{a_E\}) \approx \mathbf{R}$ the well normalized solution $u(x;h) = \int e^{i(x\xi+\psi(\xi))/h} b(\xi;h)\, d\xi$ to $(P-E)u = 0$ near $\{a_E\}$. By construction, we have:

$$\pm \mathcal{K}^a(E)^* \frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^a]_\pm \mathcal{K}^a(E) = \mathrm{Id}_{a_E} = 1 \tag{5.1}$$

We define objects "connecting" a to a' along γ_E as follows: let $\widetilde{T} = \widetilde{T}(E) > 0$ such that $\exp \widetilde{T} H_{p_0}(a) = a'$ (in case is invariant by time reversal, i.e. $p_0(x,\xi) = p_0(x,-\xi)$ we take $\widetilde{T}(E) = T(E)/2$). Choose χ^a_f (f for "forward") be a cut-off function supported microlocally near γ_E, equal to 0 along $\exp tH_{p_0}(a)$ for $t \le \varepsilon$, equal to 1 along γ_E for $t \in [2\,\varepsilon, \widetilde{T} + \varepsilon]$, and back to 0 next to a', e.g. for $t \ge \widetilde{T} + 2\,\varepsilon$. Let similarly χ^a_b (b for "backward") be a cut-off function supported microlocally near γ_E, equal to 1 along $\exp tH_{p_0}(a)$ for $t \in [-\varepsilon, \widetilde{T} - 2\,\varepsilon]$, and equal to 0 next to a', e.g. for $t \ge \widetilde{T} - \varepsilon$. By (5.1) we have

$$\mathcal{K}^a(E)^* \frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^a]_+ \mathcal{K}^a(E) = \mathcal{K}^a(E)^* \frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^a_f] \mathcal{K}^a(E) = 1 \tag{5.3}$$

$$-\mathcal{K}^a(E)^* \frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^a]_- \mathcal{K}^a(E) = -\mathcal{K}^a(E)^* \frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^a_b] \mathcal{K}^a(E) = 1 \tag{5.4}$$

which define a left inverse $R^a_+(E) = \mathcal{K}^a(E)^* \frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^a_f]$ to $\mathcal{K}^a(E)$ and a right inverse

$$R^a_-(E) = -\frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^a_b]\mathcal{K}^a(E)$$

to $\mathcal{K}^a(E)^*$. We define similar objects connecting a' to a, $\widetilde{T}' = \widetilde{T}'(E) > 0$ such that $\exp \widetilde{T}' H_{p_0}(a) = a'$ ($\widetilde{T} = \widetilde{T}'$ if p_0 is invariant by time reversal), in particular a left inverse $R^{a'}_+(E) = \mathcal{K}^{a'}(E)^* \frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^{a'}_f]_+$ to $\mathcal{K}^{a'}(E)$ and a right inverse $R^{a'}_-(E) = -\frac{i}{h}[P,\chi^{a'}_b]\mathcal{K}^{a'}(E)$ to $\mathcal{K}^{a'}(E)^*$, with the additional requirement

$$\chi^a_b + \chi^{a'}_b = 1 \tag{5.5}$$

near γ_E. Define now the pair $R_+(E)u = (R^a_+(E)u, R^{a'}_+(E)u)$, $u \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ and $R_-(E)$ by $R_-(E)u_- = R^a_-(E)u^a_- + R^{a'}_-(E)u^{a'}_-$, $u_- = (u^a_-, u^{a'}_-) \in \mathbf{C}^2$, we call Grushin operator $\mathcal{P}(z)$ the operator defined by the linear system

$$\frac{i}{h}(P-z)u + R_-(z)u_- = v, \quad R_+(z)u = v_+ \tag{5.6}$$

From [SjZw], we know that the problem (5.6) is well posed, and $\mathcal{P}(z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E(z) & E_+(z) \\ E_-(z) & E_{-+}(z) \end{pmatrix}$, with $(P-z)^{-1} = E(z) - E_+(z)E_{-+}(z)^{-1}E_-(z)$. Actually one can show that the effective Hamiltonian $E_{-+}(z)$ is singular precisely when 1 belongs to the spectrum of the monodromy operator, or when the microlocal solutions $u_1, u_2 \in K_h(E)$ computed in (3.41) are colinear, which amounts to say that Gram matrix (2.8) is singular. There follows that the spectrum of P in I is precisely the set of E we have determined by BS quantization rule.

References

[Ar] P.Argyres. The Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule and Weyl correspondence, Physics 2, p.131-199 (1965)

[B] H.Baklouti. Asymptotique des largeurs de resonances pour un modèle d'effet tunnel microlocal. Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré (Phys.Th.) 68 (2), p.179-228, 1998.

[BaWe] S.Bates, A.Weinstein. Lectures on the geometry of quantization. Berkeley Math. Lect. Notes 88, American Math. Soc. 1997

[BenOrz] C.Bender S.Orzsag. Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers. Srpinger, 1979.

[BenIfaRo] A.Bensouissi, A.Ifa, M.Rouleux. Andreev reflection and the semi-classical Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian. Proceedings "Days of Diffraction 2009", Saint-Petersburg. p.37-42. IEEE 2009.

[BenMhaRo] A.Bensouissi, N.M'hadbi, M.Rouleux. Andreev reflection and the semi-classical Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian: resonant states. Proceedings "Days of Diffraction 2011", Saint-Petersburg. p.39-44. IEEE 101109/ DD.2011.6094362

[BoFuRaZe] J.-F. Bony, S.Fujiie, T.Ramond, M.Zerzeri. **1**. Quantum monodromy for a homoclinic orbit. Proc. Colloque EDP Hammamet, 2003. **2**. Resonances for homoclinic trapped sets, arXiv:1603.07517v1.

[CaGra-SazLittlReiRios] M.Cargo, A.Gracia-Saz, R.Littlejohn, M.Reinsch & P.de Rios. Moyal star product approach to the Bohr-Sommerfeld approximation, J.Phys.A: Math and Gen.38, p.1977-2004 (2005).

[Ch] J. Chazarain. Spectre d'un Hamiltonien quantique et Mecanique classique. Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. 6, p.595-644 (1980)

[CdV] Y.Colin de Verdière. **1**. Bohr Sommerfeld rules to all orders. Ann. H.Poincaré, 6, p.925-936, 2005. **2**. Méthodes semi-classiques et théorie spectrale. https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ ycolver/ All-Articles/93b.pdf

[DePh] E.Delabaere, F.Pham. Resurgence methods in semi-classical asymptotics. Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré 71(1), p.1-94, 1999.

[DeDiPh] E.Delabaere, H.Dillinger, F.Pham. Exact semi-classical expansions for 1-D quantum oscillators. J.Math.Phys. Vol.38 (12) p.6126-6184 (1997)

[DuGy] K.Duncan, B.Györffy. Semiclassical theory of Quasiparticlesin the superconduting state. Ann. Phys. 298,p.273-333, 2002.

[Gra-Saz] A.Gracia-Saz. The symbol of a function of a pseudo-differential operator. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 55(7), p.2257-2284, 2005.

[HeRo] B.Helffer, D.Robert. Puits de potentiel generalisés et asymptotique semi-classique. Annales Inst. H.Poincaré (Physique Théorique), Vol.41, No 3, p.291-331, 1984.

[HeSj] B.Helffer, J.Sjöstrand. Semi-classical analysis for Harper's equation III. Memoire No 39, Soc. Math. de France, 117 (4), 1988.

[Hö] L.Hörmander, The Analysis of Partial Differential Operators, I. Springer, 1983.

[IfaRo] A.Ifa, M.Rouleux. Regular Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rules for a h-pseudo-differential

operator: the method of positive commutators. Int. Conference Euro-Maghreb Laboratory of Math. and their Interfaces, Hammamet (Tunisie). ARIMA, Vol.23. Special issue LEM21-2016.

[Li] R.Littlejohn, Lie Algebraic Approach to Higher-Order Terms, Preprint June 2003.

[LoRo] H. Louati, M.Rouleux. Semi-classical resonances associated with a periodic orbit. Math. Notes, Vol. 100, No.5, p.724-730, 2016.

[Ol] F.Olver. Asymptotics and special functions. Academic Press, 1974.

[Ro] M.Rouleux. Tunneling effects for h-Pseudodifferential Operators, Feshbach Resonances and the Born-Oppenheimer Approximation *in*: Evolution Equations, Feshbach Resonances, Singular Hodge Theory. Adv. Part. Diff. Eq. Wiley-VCH (1999)

[Sj] J.Sjöstrand. **1**. Analytic singularities of solutions of boundary value problems. Proc. NATO ASI on Singularities in boundary value problems, D.Reidel, 1980, p.235-269. **2**. Density of states oscillations for magnetic Schrodinger operators, *in*: Bennewitz (ed.) Diff. Eq. Math. Phys. 1990. Univ. Alabama, Birmingham, p.295-345.

[SjZw] J. Sjöstrand and M. Zworski. Quantum monodromy and semi-classical trace formulae, J. Math. Pure Appl. 81, p.1-33, 2002.

[Vo] A.Voros. Asymptotic h-expansions of stationary quantum states, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A(N.S), 26, p.343-403, 1977.

[Ya] D.Yafaev. The semi-classical limit of eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger equation and the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition, revisited. St. Petersburg Math. J., 22:6, p.1051- 1067, 2011.